arXiv:1403.4803v1 [stat.ME] 19 Mar 2014

A univariate time varying analysis of periodic ARMA processes

M. Karanasos[†], A. G. Paraskevopoulos[‡] and S. Dafnos
[†]*Brunel University, London, UK*
[‡]University of Patras, Patra, Greece

This draft: March 18th 2014

Abstract

The standard approach for studying the periodic ARMA model with coefficients that vary over the seasons is to express it in a vector form. In this paper we introduce an alternative method which views the periodic formulation as a time varying univariate process and obviates the need for vector analysis. The specification, interpretation, and solution of a periodic ARMA process enable us to formulate a forecasting method which avoids recursion and allows us to obtain analytic expressions of the optimal predictors. Our results on periodic models are general, analogous to those for stationary specifications, and place the former on the same computational basis as the latter.

Keywords: covariance structure, homogeneous and particular solutions, optimal predictors, periodic ARMA models.

JEL Classifcation: C22, C53, C58.

We gratefully acknowledge the helpful conversations we had with L. Giraitis, G. Kapetanios and A. Magdalinos in the preparation of the paper. We would also like to thank R. Baillie, L. Bauwens, M. Brennan, D. van Dijk, W. Distaso, C. Francq, P. Fryzlewicz, C. Gourieroux, E. Guerre, M. Guidolin, A. Harvey, C. Hommes, S. Leybourne, P. Minford, A. Monfort, C. Robotti, W. Semmler, R. Smith, T. Teräsvirta, P. Zaffaroni, and J-M. Zakoian for suggestions and comments on a closely related work (see Paraskevopoulos, Karanasos and Dafnos 2013) which greatly improved many aspects of the current paper as well. We are grateful to seminar participants at CREST, Erasmus University, London School of Economics, Queen Mary University of London, University of Essex, Birkbeck College University of London, University of Nottingham, Cardiff University, University of Manchester, Athens University of Economics and Business, and University of Piraeus. We have also benefited from the comments given by participants (on the closely related work) at the 3rd Humboldt-Copenhagen Conference on Financial Econometrics (Humboldt University, Berlin, March 2013), the SNDE 21st Annual Symposium (University of Milan-Bicocca, March 2013), the 8th and 9th BMRC-QASS conferences on Macro and Financial Economics (Brunel University, London, May 2013), the 7th CFE Conference (Senate House, University of London, December 2013), and the 1st RASTANEWS Conference (University of Milan-Bicocca, January 2014).

[†]Address for correspondence: Menelaos Karanasos, Economics and Finance, Brunel University, West London, UB3 3PH, UK; email: menelaos.karanasos@brunel.ac.uk, tel: +44(0)1895265284, fax: +44 (0)1895269770.

1 INTRODUCTION

Many natural and biological phenomena are dominated by the existence of periodic regularities, which in economics are due to seasonality.[1] To express periodicities, Gladyshev (1961) introduced a mathematical model that still constitutes the core of the prevailing approach to the analysis of seasonal time series. Employing Gladyshev's results, the bulk of the literature transforms the problem of investigating a periodic univariate series to the corresponding problem for stationary vector series. In this paper we propose a theory by which we investigate the time series properties of periodic schemes. We consider them as univariate time varying frameworks (instead of time invariant multivariate ones), that is we regard them as stochastic difference equations with time dependent (albeit periodically varying) parameters.

Subsequent literature has embodied Gladyshev's approach in the mainstream theory of time series. Jones and Brelsford (1967) and Troutman (1979) modeled periodic time series as autoregressive processes. Gladyshev's scheme was incorporated into an autoregressive moving average framework by Cleveland and Tiao (1979) and developed further by Tiao and Grupe (1980) (see also Vecchia 1985; Osborn, 1991). The outcome of this research program is the periodic autoregressive moving average, or PARMA model. In the present paper we introduce a new method for the study of periodic models with coefficients that vary over the seasons, as an alternative to the standard approach of expressing them in a vector form. Viewing the periodic formulation as a time varying (TV) univariate process obviates the need for vector analysis. As we explain below, our results on PARMA models are general, analogous to those for stationary ARMA specifications, and place the former on the same computational basis as the latter.

The standard modeling of PARMA formulations, as expressed, say, in the influential papers by Tiao and Grupe (1980) and Osborn (1991), treats them as nonperiodic vector models in order to study their properties. In other words, they examine the periodic specification by converting it into a vector ARMA (VARMA) process with constant coefficients. However, Lund, Shao, and Basawa (2006) call attention to the fact that the time invariant vector form even of a periodic autoregressive model of order one for daily data will contain 365 variables, and this is a handicap, especially for forecasting.

Except for some notable exceptions, (see for example, Vecchia, 1985; Franses, 1994, 1996a; Lund and Basawa, 2000; Franses and Paap, 2005), the time series properties of periodic processes have not been fully investigated.[2] Lund and Basawa (2000) propose a recursive scheme for computing one-step ahead

[1] The property of periodicity is important in many fields. For the applications of periodic time series models in climatology, hydrology and electrical engineering see the references cited in: Lund and Basawa (2000), Basawa and Lund (2001) and Shao (2008). The periodic models were applied in economics by Parzen and Pagano (1979). They became popular in the then emerging subject of macroeconometrics in the mid to late 1980's with Miron (1986), Ghysels (1988), Osborn (1988, 1990), and in joint work with their co-authors. For modern treatments and overviews see Franses (1996b), Ghysels and Osborn (2001), Franses and Paap (2004), and Hurd and Miamee (2007). All the developments we have referred to above share a common theme: they all point to the importance of periodicities in the analysis of time series, which are subject to seasonal fluctuations.

[2] Difficulties in testing for unit roots in seasonal models have been investigated by Franses (1991, 1994), Taylor (2002)

predictors for such processes, and construct multi-step-ahead forecasts recursively from the one-step ahead predictions. Anderson, Meerschaert, and Zhang (2013) develop a recursive forecasting algorithm for periodic processes. But, as pointed out by Lund and Basawa (2000), despite their applicability, prediction for PARMA models remains relatively unexplored, compared to their stationary counterparts. Although they consider recursive computation of linear predictors and their mean squared errors, as we will show below, our explicit solution of the PARMA formulation frees us from the bounds of recursion and enables us to derive formulas that facilitate the analytic calculation of the multi-step-ahead forecasts.

We put forward the solution to periodic schemes, which is based on the representation of the PARMA model as an infinite system of linear equations; its coefficient matrix is row-finite, that is, an infinite matrix whose rows comprise a finite number of non-zero entries. This solution is derived from a general method for solving infinite linear systems in row-finite form, developed recently by Paraskevopoulos (2012). It is an efficient systematic procedure that generalizes the Gauss-Jordan elimination; implemented under a rightmost pivoting, it solves the infinite systems where the standard Gauss-Jordan elimination fails.

Once we have expressed the PARMA model as an infinite linear system, we only need the infinite Gaussian part of the Gauss-Jordan algorithm. This is due to the fact that the row-finite coefficient matrix has the additional property of being in row-echelon form. The application of the Gaussian algorithm to time varying linear difference equations leads to solutions expressed in terms of a single Hessenbergian, which in our case is the determinant of a lower Hessenberg matrix The solution derived by the approach described above is decomposable into two parts: the homogeneous and particular solutions which are also expressed in terms of a single Hessenbergian. For the periodic processes that we study in the current paper, the coefficients in these solutions are expressed as generalized lower continuant matrices, which are special forms of Hessenbergians. This allows us to provide a characterization of PARMA models by deriving, first, multistep ahead forecasts, the associated forecast error, and the mean square error, and second, the first two unconditional moments of the process and its covariance structure. Our predictions can be employed to develop an efficient algorithm for the PARMA likelihood of Gaussian series, as in Lund and Basawa (2000). Equally important we relax the assumption of homoscedasticity (see also, among others, Paraskevopoulos, Karanasos, and Dafnos, 2013, and Karanasos, Paraskevopoulos, Menla Ali, Karoglou, and Yfanti, 2014), which is likely to be violated in practice, and allow ε_t to follow, for example, a periodical GARCH type of process (see, Bollerslev and Ghysels, 1996).

The paper is organized as follows. First we introduce suitable seasonal notation in Section 2.1 and then in Section 2.2 we state the stochastic periodic difference equation, which is our main object of

and del Barrio Castro and Osborn (2008; see the references therein for this stream of important research). Basawa and Lund (2001), Shao (2005), and Tesfaye, Anderson, and Meerschaert (2011) discuss parameter estimation and asymptotic properties of PARMA specifications.

inquiry. In Section 3.1 we represent this equation as an infinite linear system and concentrate on the associated coefficient matrix. After appropriate transformations of this matrix we end up with the fundamental solution matrices, which are band matrices with a superdiagonal of non-zero entries; they belong to the class of lower Hessenberg matrices. In Section 3.2 we employ their determinants, called the Hessenbergians, in order to express the general solution of the periodic model as the sum of two parts: the homogeneous and particular solutions. In Section 4, we derive the fundamental properties of the PARMA model. For example, simplified closed-form expressions of the multi-step forecast error variances are obtained. These formulas allow a fast computation of the multi-step-ahead predictors. Section 5 concludes, offers suggestions for future research and reflects on the significance and appropriate approach to studying time series data subject to periodicities. The proof of the general solution theorem is in the Appendix A. Appendix B helps us to understand the difference between a stationary treatment of periodic processes and the time varying approach of the present paper

2 PROLEGOMENA

In the current section we introduce suitable seasonal notation, and then we describe the problem we study.

2.1 Seasonal Notation

Throughout the paper we adhere to the following conventions: $(\mathbb{Z}^+)$ $\mathbb{Z}$, and $(\mathbb{R}^+)$ $\mathbb{R}$ stand for the sets of (positive) integers, and (positive) real numbers, respectively. To simplify our exposition we introduce the following notation: $(t, T) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, $(n, l) \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \times \mathbb{Z}^+$.

Next consider a time series subject to periodic fluctuations. The periodic notation y_{Tl+s} denotes the series during the sth season, $s = 1, \ldots, l$, where l denotes the number of seasons (e.g. quarters in a year: $l = 4$); so, l is the length of the period. T is the number of periods (e.g. years in our example); that is, $T = 0, \ldots, n$. For clarity of notation, we denote the sum $Tl + s$ with the symbol t_s; accordingly, we indicate a variable that stands for a periodic series as y_{t_s}. The present time is represented by t, and nl is the number of seasons such that at time $\tau_n = t - nl$ information is given.[3]

2.2 PARMA Model

Next we give the main definition that we will use in the rest of the paper.

[3]We assume that information is given at time $\tau_n = t - nl$ for ease of exposition. It can, of course, be given at any time $\tau_n - s$.

Definition 1 *We can write a periodic AR model of order p with l seasons, PAR(p; l), as*

$$y_{t_s} = \phi_{0,s} + \sum_{m=1}^{p} \phi_{m,s} y_{t_s - m} + \varepsilon_{t_s}, \tag{1}$$

which can be written in a more efficient way using the backshift operator, B as

$$\Phi_{t_s}(B) y_{t_s} = \phi_{0,s} + \varepsilon_{t_s},$$

where time $t_s = Tl + s$ is at the sth season, and $\phi_{m,s}$ are the periodically (or seasonally) varying autoregressive coefficients. For example, if $s = l$, that is, we are at the last season (which for quarterly data is the fourth), then the periodically varying coefficients are $\phi_{m,l}$ ($\phi_{m,4}$); whereas, if $s = 1$ we are at the first season and thus the periodically varying coefficients are $\phi_{m,1}$. A periodically varying drift is denoted by $\phi_{0,s}$; $\{\varepsilon_{t_s}, t_s \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a sequence of zero mean serially uncorrelated random variables defined on $L_2(\Omega, F_t, P)$[4] with $\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon_{t_s} | F_{t_s - 1}] = 0$ a.s., and finite variance: $0 < M_l < \sigma^2_{t_s} < M < \infty$, $\forall\ t_s$, for some $M_l \in \mathbb{R}^+$ and $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$. $\Phi_{t_s}(B)$ is a p-order polynomial of the backshift operator B with periodical coefficients $\phi_{m,s}$. The above process nests the AR(p) model as a special case, i.e , it reduces to the AR(p) process if we assume that the drift and all the AR parameters are constant, that is: $\phi_{m,s} = \varphi_m$, $m = 0, \ldots, p$, for all t. To obtain a PARMA(p, q; l) model we replace ε_{t_s} by $u_{t_s} = \Theta_{t_s}(B)\varepsilon_t = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_{j,s} \varepsilon_{t_s - j}$.

3 GENERAL SOLUTION IN TERMS OF HESSENBERGIANS

In the current section we put forward a framework for examining periodic time series models, like eq. (1), based on a workable closed form solution of higher order stochastic time varying difference equations. We introduce a method for finding the p linearly independent solutions that we need in order to obtain the general solution of the PAR($p; l$) process, the so called fundamental solutions.

3.1 Fundamental Solution Matrices

Gladyshev (1961) introduced a category of non-stationary time series, called periodically correlated; such series exhibit periodic means and covariances. Gladyshev bypassed the non-stationarity of a univariate periodic series with l periods, by representing it as an l-dimensional (i.e. multivariate) stationary vector series. Building on the seminal Gladyshev paper, Tiao and Grupe (1980), Osborn (1991), and the bulk of

[4]The triple $(\Omega, \{F_t, t \in \mathbb{Z}\}, P)$ denotes a complete probability space with a filtration, $\{F_t\}$, which is a non-decreasing sequence of σ-fields $F_{t-1} \subseteq F_t \subseteq F$, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$. The space of P-equivalence classes of finite complex random variables with finite p-order is indicated by L_p. Finally, $H = L_2(\Omega, F_t, P)$ stands for a Hilbert space of random variables with finite first and second moments.

the subsequent literature have modeled periodic autoregressions as VAR models with constant coefficients.

Departing from this tradition, we face the non-stationarity of periodic processes head on with a time varying treatment by staying within the univariate framework. We express the periodic difference equation (1) as an infinite system and provide its explicit solution.

A main advantage associated with our time varying analysis of periodic processes is that we avoid a major drawback of the standard stationary multivariate approach, namely, that it might require the consideration of a large number of variables. This weakness becomes especially acute in the examination of high frequency data.

We begin by expressing the PAR$(p;l)$ model as a time varying AR model. That is,

$$\Phi_t(B)y_t = \phi_0(t) + \varepsilon_t, \tag{2}$$

with $\Phi_t(B) = 1 - \sum_{m=1}^{p} \phi_m(t)B^m$ where $\phi_m(t) = \phi_m(t - nl)$, $m = 1, \ldots, p$ are the periodically (or seasonally) varying autoregressive coefficients: $\phi_{m,s} \triangleq \phi_m(Tl + s)$. Similarly, for the PARMA process we can replace ε_t with $u_t = \Theta_t(B)\varepsilon_t$ and $\Theta_t(B) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_j(t)B^j$ where $\theta_j(t) = \theta_j(t - nl)$, $j = 1, \ldots, q$, are the periodically varying moving average coefficients: $\theta_{j,s} \triangleq \theta_j(Tl + s)$.

Equation (2) is written as

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p} \phi_m(t)y_{t-m} - y_t = -[\phi_0(t) + \varepsilon_t], \tag{3}$$

and takes the infinite band system form

$$\mathbf{\Phi} \cdot \mathbf{y} = -\boldsymbol{\phi} - \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}, \tag{4}$$

(matrices and vectors are denoted by upper and lower case boldface symbols, respectively) where

$$\mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1) & \ldots & \phi_1(\tau_n+1) & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & \phi_p(\tau_n+2) & \ldots & \phi_2(\tau_n+2) & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & -1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & \ldots & \phi_3(\tau_n+3) & \phi_2(\tau_n+3) & \phi_1(\tau_n+3) & -1 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots \end{pmatrix},$$

with

$$\mathbf{y}=(y_{\tau_n+1-p},\ y_{\tau_n+2-p},\ \ldots,\ y_{\tau_n},\ y_{\tau_n+1},\ y_{\tau_n+2},\ y_{\tau_n+3},\ y_{\tau_n+4},\ \ldots)',$$

and

$$\boldsymbol{\phi}=\begin{pmatrix} \phi_0(\tau_n+1) \\ \phi_0(\tau_n+2) \\ \phi_0(\tau_n+3) \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}=\begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_{\tau_n+1} \\ \varepsilon_{\tau_n+2} \\ \varepsilon_{\tau_n+3} \\ \vdots \end{pmatrix}$$

(recall that $\tau_n = t - nl$). The elements of the matrices $\boldsymbol{\phi}$, and $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ are the values that their respective coefficients take in successive time periods. The equivalence of (3) and (4) follows from the fact that the ith equation in (4), as a result of the multiplication of the ith row of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ by the column of ys equated to $-[\phi_0(\tau_n+i)+\varepsilon_{\tau_n+i}]$, is equivalent to eq. (3), as of time $\tau_n + i$. The $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ matrix in eq. (4) can be partitioned as

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathbf{P} & \mathbf{C} \end{array} \right),$$

where

$$\mathbf{P}=\begin{pmatrix} \phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1) & ... & \phi_1(\tau_n+1) \\ 0 & \phi_p(\tau_n+2) & ... & \phi_2(\tau_n+2) \\ 0 & 0 & ... & \phi_3(\tau_n+3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{C}=\begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & ... \\ \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & -1 & 0 & 0 & ... \\ \phi_2(\tau_n+3) & \phi_1(\tau_n+3) & -1 & 0 & ... \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots \end{pmatrix}. \tag{4a}$$

The matrix $\mathbf{P}$ consists of the first p columns of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$ and the jth column of $\mathbf{C}$, $j = 1, 2, \ldots$, is the $(p+j)$th column of $\boldsymbol{\Phi}$. We will denote the pth column of the $nl \times p$ top submatrix of the matrix $\mathbf{P}$ by $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{t,nl}$:

$$(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{t,nl})' = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\tau_n{+}1), & \phi_2(\tau_n{+}2), & \ldots & ,\phi_p(\tau_n{+}p), & 0, & \ldots & ,0 \end{pmatrix}$$

(assuming without loss of generality that $p < nl$).

The $nl \times (nl-1)$ top submatrix of matrix $\mathbf{C}$ is called the core solution matrix and is denoted as

$$\mathbf{C}_{t,nl} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & & & & \\ \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & -1 & & & & & & & \\ \phi_2(\tau_n+3) & \phi_1(\tau_n+3) & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+2) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & \end{pmatrix}, \tag{5}$$

(here and in what follows empty spaces in a matrix have to be replaced by zeros). The fundamental solution matrix is obtained from the core solution matrix $\mathbf{C}_{t,nl}$, augmented on the left by the $\boldsymbol{\phi}_{t,nl}$ column:

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{t,nl} = \left(\boldsymbol{\phi}_{t,nl} \;\middle|\; \mathbf{C}_{t,nl} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\tau_n+1) & -1 & & & & & & & \\ \phi_2(\tau_n+2) & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ \phi_p(\tau_n+p) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & \end{pmatrix}. \tag{6}$$

The entries of the above $nl \times nl$ matrix are given by:

$$\begin{cases} -1 & \text{if} \quad i = j-1, \quad \text{and} \quad 2 \le j \le nl, \\ \phi_{1+m}(t-nl+i) & \text{if} \quad 0 \le m \le p-1, \quad i = j+m, \quad \text{and} \quad 1 \le j \le nl-m, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The solution matrix, $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}$ for $p \leq nl$, is a $(p+1)$-diagonal matrix of order nl, that is a matrix that possesses $p+1$ diagonals with nonzero entries. Apart from the main diagonal, the superdiagonal, and the subdiagonal, it also possesses $p-2$ nonzero time varying lower diagonals. Therefore, we call it a generalized lower continuant matrix of degree $p+1$. When $p=2$ then all lower diagonals are zero and $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}$ becomes a continuant or a tridiagonal matrix (see Karanasos, Paraskevopoulos, Menla Ali, Karoglou, and Yfanti, 2014).

Next we introduce the bivariate function $\xi : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}^+ \longmapsto \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\xi_{t,nl} = \det(\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}) \tag{7}$$

(for square matrices $\mathbf{X} = [x_{ij}]_{i,j=1,\ldots,l} \in \mathbb{R}^{l \times l}$ using standard notation, $\det(\mathbf{X})$ or $|\mathbf{X}|$ denotes the determinant of matrix $\mathbf{X}$) coupled with the initial values $\xi_{t,0} = 1$, and $\xi_{t,-m} = 0$ for $m = 1, \ldots, p-1$. In other words, $\xi_{t,nl}$ is the determinant of an $nl \times nl$ matrix; each nonzero diagonal of this matrix, below the superdiagonal, consists of the periodical coefficients $\phi_r(\cdot)$, $r = 1, \ldots, \min(nl, p)$ from $t - nl + r$ to t. In other words, $\xi_{t,nl}$ is an nl-order generalized lower continuant determinant of degree $p+1$. Note that $\xi_{t,nl-r}$ =$\det(\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl-r})$ for $r < nl$, where $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl-r}$ is equal to the matrix $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}$ without its first r rows and columns.

The solution matrices, being band matrices with a superdiagonal of non-zero elements, are special cases of lower Hessenberg matrices, the determinants of which are called Hessenbergians.

Alternatively $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}$ can be written as

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Phi}_{\tau_{n-1},l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} & & & \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_{\tau_{n-2}} & \mathbf{\Phi}_{\tau_{n-2},l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_{\tau_1} & \mathbf{\Phi}_{\tau_1,l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} \\ & & & \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t & \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where $\overline{\mathbf{0}}$ is an $l \times l$ matrix of zeros except for -1 in its $(l,1)$ entry; $\widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t$ is an $l \times l$ matrix of zeros except $\phi_m(t-l+i)$, in its $(i, l-m+i+1)$ entry, $m = i+1, \ldots, \min(p, l+2)$. Since $\phi_{t_T,l} = \phi_{t,l}$: $\widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_{\tau_T} = \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t$, and

$\mathbf{\Phi}_{\tau_T,l} = \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l}$, we have

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl} = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} & & & \\ \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t & \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} & & \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t & \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} & \overline{\mathbf{0}} \\ & & & \widetilde{\mathbf{0}}_t & \mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The above matrix is a block Toeplitz matrix of bandwidth 3. $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l}$ is the $\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,nl}$ matrix defined in eq. (6) when $n = 1$:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_{t,l} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_1(\tau_1+1) & -1 & & & & & & & \\ \phi_2(\tau_1+2) & \phi_1(\tau_1+2) & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ \phi_p(\tau_1+p) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_1+p) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & \phi_p(\tau_1+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & \end{pmatrix}. \quad (9)$$

3.2 The General Solution Theorem

This short section contains the statement of our main theorem.

Theorem 1 *The general solution of eq. (2) with free constants (initial condition values)* y_{t-nl}, $y_{t-nl-1}, \ldots, y_{t-nl-p+1}$ *is given by*

$$y_{t,nl}^{gen} = y_{t,nl}^{hom} + y_{t,nl}^{par}, \quad (10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t,nl}^{hom} &= \xi_{t,nl} y_{t-nl} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-m} \phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i) \xi_{t,nl-i} y_{t-nl-m} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-m} \phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i) \xi_{t,nl-i} y_{t-nl-m} \\ y_{t,nl}^{par} &= \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi_{t,r} \phi_0(t-r) + \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi_{t,r} \varepsilon_{t-r}, \end{aligned}$$

where the ξ*s are expressed as generalized lower continuant determinants (see eq. (7)).*

In the above Theorem $y_{t,nl}^{gen}$ is decomposed into two parts: the $y_{t,nl}^{hom}$ part, which consists of the p free constants (y_{t-nl-m}, $m=0,\ldots,p-1$); and the $y_{t,nl}^{par}$ part, which contains the periodical drift terms ($\phi_0(\cdot)$) and the error terms (εs) from time $t-nl+1$ to time t.

For '$n=0$' (for $i>j$ we use the convention $\sum_{r=i}^{j}(\cdot)=0$), since $\xi_{t,0}=1$ and $\xi_{t,-c}=0$, $c>0$, (see eq. (7)), eq. (10) becomes an 'identity': $y_{t,0}^{gen}=y_t$. Similarly, when '$nl=1$' eq. (10), since $\xi_{t,1}=\phi_1(t)$, $\xi_{t,0}=1$ and $\xi_{t,-c}=0$, $c>0$, it reduces to 'eq. (2)': $y_{t,1}^{gen}=\sum_{m=1}^{p}\phi_m(t)y_{t+1-k-m}+\phi_0(t)+\epsilon_t$.

Finally, for the PARMA$(p,q;l)$ model, which is given by

$$\Phi_t(B)y_t=\phi_0(t)+\Theta_t(B)\varepsilon_t, \tag{11}$$

with $\Theta_t(B)=\sum_{j=1}^{q}\theta_j(t)B^j$, $\theta_j(t)=\theta_j(\tau_n)$, $j=1,\ldots,q$, we replace ε_t in Theorem 1 by $u_t=\Theta_t(B)\varepsilon_t$.

4 OPTIMAL FORECASTING

Having specified and solved a PARMA model by employing a univariate time varying approach, we proceed to predict the future values of a periodically correlated time series variable. Failure to allow for features of the data, like seasonality, is likely to produce inferior forecasts. Accordingly, we incorporate the non-stationarity of our series in a systematic manner into a forecasting method. We begin by deriving the nl step-ahead optimal linear predictor.

Taking the conditional expectation of eq. (10) with respect to the σ field F_{τ_n} ($\tau_n=t-nl$) yields the following Proposition.

Proposition 1 *For the PAR(p;l) model the nl-step-ahead optimal (in L_2-sense) linear predictor of y_t, $\mathbb{E}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n})$, is*

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{E}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n}) &= \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1}\xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r)+\xi_{t,nl}y_{t-nl}+\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p-m}\phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i)\xi_{t,nl-i}y_{t-nl-m} \\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{l-1}\sum_{T=0}^{n-1}\xi_{t,Tl+r}\phi_0(t-r)+\xi_{t,nl}y_{t-nl}+\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p-m}\phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i)\xi_{t,nl-i}y_{t-nl-m}.\end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Next we consider the issue of forecast accuracy by examining the forecast error resulting from the predictor. In particular, the forecast error for the above nl-step-ahead predictor, $\mathbb{FE}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n})=y_t-\mathbb{E}[y_t\,|F_{\tau_n}]$, is given by

$$\mathbb{FE}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n})=\Xi_{t,nl}(B)\varepsilon_t=\sum_{r=0}^{nl-1}\xi_{t,r}B^r\varepsilon_t. \tag{13}$$

The optimal forecast is the one with the minimum square error; we provide the following interpretation

of the criterion. The multistep ahead prediction error is expressed in terms of nl error terms from time $t-nl+1$ *to time* t *where the coefficient of the error term at time* $t-r$, $\xi_{t,r}$, *is the determinant of an* $r \times r$ *matrix* ($\Phi_{t,r}$), *each nonzero variable diagonal of which consists of the AR periodical coefficients* $\phi_m(\cdot)$, $m = 1, \ldots, min(p, r)$ *from time* $t - r + m$ *to* t.

The mean square error is given by

$$\mathbb{V}ar[\mathbb{FE}(y_t \,|F_{\tau_n})] = \Xi^{(2)}_{t,nl}(B)\sigma^2_t = \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi^2_{t,r} B^r \sigma^2_t. \tag{14}$$

This error is expressed in terms of nl variances from time $t-nl+1$ *to time* t, *with time varying coefficients (the squared* ξs *).*

Remark 1 *For the PARMA*$(p, q; l)$ *model:* $\mathbb{E}(y_t^{(ARMA)} \,|F_{\tau_n}) = \mathbb{E}(y_t \,|F_{\tau_n}) + \sum_{r=nl}^{nl-1+q} \xi'_{t,r}\varepsilon_{t-r}$, *where* $\xi'_{t,r} = \sum_{j=r-nl+1}^{q} \xi_{t,r-j}\theta_j(t-r+j)$. $\mathbb{FE}(y_t^{(ARMA)} \,|F_{\tau_n}) = \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi^*_{t,r}\varepsilon_{t-r}$ *where* $\xi^*_{t,r} = \xi_{t,r} + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \xi_{t,r-j}\theta_j(t-r+j)$, *and* $\mathbb{V}ar[\mathbb{FE}(y_t^{(ARMA)} \,|F_{\tau_n})] = \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} (\xi^*_{t,r})^2\sigma^2_{t-r}$.

Using the vector season representation (see Appendix B) forecasts and forecast error variances for a PARMA$(p, q; l)$ process can be computed. In this manner we can construct forecasts as in l-variate VARMA(P, Q) models (see Ula, 1993). For example, Franses (1996a) and Franses and Paap (2005) derive multi-step forecast error variances for low-order PAR models with $l = 4$, using the VS representation. But if l is large, even low order specifications will have large VAR representations and this is a handicap, especially for forecasting. In contrast, our formulas using the univariate framework allow a fast computation of the multi-step-ahead predictors even if l is large.

In what follows we give conditions for the first and second unconditional moments of the model in eq. (2) to exist.

Assumption 1. $\sum_{r=0}^{nl} \xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r)$ as $n \to \infty$ converges $\forall$ t and $\sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \sup_t(\xi^2_{t,r}\sigma^2_{t-r}) < M < \infty$, $M \in \mathbb{R}^+$.

Assumption 1 is a sufficient condition for the model in eq. (2) to admit a second-order MA(∞) representation. A necessary but not sufficient condition for $\sum_{r=0}^{nl} \xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r)$ to converge is $\lim_{n\to\infty}[\xi_{t,nl}\phi_0(t-nl)] = 0$ for all t. A sufficient condition for this limit to be zero is: $\lim_{n\to\infty} \xi_{t,nl} = 0$ and $\phi_0(t-nl)$ is bounded with respect to n $\forall$ t.

Pagano (1978) and Troutman (1979) were the first to study moment estimates for PAR models and established their consistency and asymptotic efficiency. Consistency and asymptotic efficiency of PARMA processes in the context of least squares and maximum likelihood were established by Basawa and Lund (2001).

Another consequence of Theorem 1 are the following Propositions, where we state expressions for the first two unconditional moments of y_t. In the sequel we study the equivalent of the Wold decomposition for non-stationary periodic processes. The challenge we face is that in the periodical models we can not invert the AR polynomial due to the presence of time dependent coefficients. We overcome this difficulty and formulate a type of time varying Wold decomposition theorem.

Proposition 2 *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then*

$$y_t \overset{L_2}{=} \lim_{n\to\infty} y_{t,nl}^{par} \overset{L_2}{=} \Xi_{t,\infty}(B)[\phi_0(t)+\varepsilon_t] = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_{t,r} B^r [\phi_0(t)+\varepsilon_t], \tag{15}$$

is a unique solution of the PAR model in eq. (2). The above expression states that $\{y_{t,nl}^{par}, t\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ *(defined in eq. (10)) L_2 converges as $n\to\infty$ if and only if $\sum_{r=0}^{nl}\xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r)$ converges and $\sum_{r=0}^{nl}\xi_{t,r}\varepsilon_{t-r}$ converges a.s., and thus under Assumption 1 $y_t \overset{L_2}{=} \lim_{n\to\infty} y_{t,nl}^{par}$ satisfies eq. (2).*
In other words y_t is decomposed into a non random part

$$\mathbb{E}(y_t) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{E}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n}) = \Xi_{t,\infty}(B)\phi_0(t) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\xi_{t,r}B^r\phi_0(t), \tag{16}$$

that is, an infinite sum of the periodical drifts where the time varying coefficients are expressed as determinants of generalized lower continuant matrices (the ξs); and a zero mean random part

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{FE}(y_t\,|F_{\tau_n}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\xi_{t,r}B^r\varepsilon_t.$$

Therefore, the $\xi_{t,r}$ as defined in eq. (7) are the Green functions associated with $\Phi_t(B)$ (see also Paraskevopoulos, Karanasos, and Dafnos, 2013). For the PARMA$(p,q;l)$ model we replace ε_{t-r} by $u_{t-r}=\Theta_t(B)\varepsilon_{t-r}$ or $\xi_{t,r}$ by $\xi_{t,r}^*$ (see Remark 1).

Tests to detect periodicities in the autocovariances of a realized series have been proposed by, among others, Vecchia and Ballerini (1991).

Next we state as a Proposition the result for the second moment structure.

Proposition 3 *Let Assumption 1 hold. Then the second unconditional moment for the PAR$(p;l)$ model exists and it is given by*

$$\mathbb{E}(y_t^2) = [\mathbb{E}(y_t)]^2 + \Xi_{t,\infty}^{(2)}(B)\sigma_t^2 = [\mathbb{E}(y_t)]^2 + \sum_{r=0}^{\infty}\xi_{t,r}^2 B^r\sigma_t^2. \tag{17}$$

That is, the time varying variance of y_t is an infinite sum of the time varying variances of the errors

with time varying coefficients (the squared values of the ξs).

In addition, the time varying autocovariance function $\gamma_{t,nl}$ is given by

$$\gamma_{t,nl} = \mathbb{C}ov(y_t, y_{\tau_n}) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \xi_{t,nl+r}\xi_{\tau_n,r}\sigma^2_{\tau_n-r} = \xi_{t,nl}\mathbb{V}ar(y_{\tau_n}) + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p-m} \phi_{m+i}(\tau_n + i)\xi_{t,nl-i}\mathbb{C}ov(y_{\tau_n}, y_{\tau_n-m}), \tag{18}$$

where the second equality follows from the MA(∞) representation of y_t in eq. (15) and the third one from eq. (10) in Theorem 1. For any fixed t, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\gamma_{t,nl} = 0$ when $\lim_{n\to\infty}\xi_{t,nl} = 0$.

Finally, for the PARMA($p, q; l$) model we replace the ξs in eq. (17) and in the second equality in eq. (18) by the $\xi^* s$ (as defined in Remark 1), and we add the term $\sum_{r=0}^{q-1}\xi^*_{t-nl,r}\xi'_{t,r+nl}\sigma^2_{t-nl-r}$ in the third equality of eq.(18).

Although it may be difficult to explicitly compute the covariance structure of $\{y_t\}$, for numerical work, one can always calculate it by computing the Green functions (that is, the continuant determinants ξs) with eqs. (6) and (7) and adding them up with eq. (18).

5 CONCLUSIONS

We have presented a univariate TV treatment of the periodic ARMA model. We have provided the general solution for the pth order periodic linear stochastic process, as the sum of the homogeneous and particular solutions, both expressed in terms of Hessenbergians. The solution is derived from a general method for solving infinite linear systems in row-finite form, which employs the infinite Gaussian part of the Gauss-Jordan algorithm.

Several advantages are associated with our approach. We are able to examine a single seasonal time series with a univariate framework. The large number of variables that might be involved in the vector representation line of research that follows Gladyshev (1961) will be a handicap, particularly for forecasting. The parsimonious character of our modeling is especially useful when it comes to applying periodic processes to daily and high frequency data. In addition, freeing ourselves from the bounds of recursion, which lies behind the vector treatment of periodicities, we have been able to provide explicit formulas for optimal predictors and for the second moment structure.

Our results include those for the ARMA model with constant coefficients as a special case. They are also extendible to the solutions of infinite and ascending order specifications. One natural extension of our paper is to apply the univariate methodology to multivariate seasonal models, that is to treat not

only a single seasonal time series but multiple series as well, each, with a univariate framework.

REFERENCES

Anderson, P.L., M.M. Meerschaert, & K. Zhang (2013) Forecasting with prediction intervals for periodic autoregressive moving average models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 34, 187–193.

Basawa, I.V. & R. Lund (2001) Large sample properties of parameter estimates for periodic ARMA models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 22, 651–663.

Bollerslev, T. & E. Ghysels (1996) Periodic autoregressive conditional heteroscedasticity. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 14, 139–151.

Cleveland, W.P. & G.C. Tiao (1979) Modeling seasonal time series. *Revue Economic Appliquée* 32, 107–129.

del Barrio Castro, T. & D.R. Osborn (2008) Testing for seasonal unit roots in periodic integrated autoregressive processes. *Econometric Theory* 24, 1093–1129.

Franses, P.H. (1991) Seasonality, non-stationarity, and the forecasting of monthly time series. *International Journal of Forecasting* 7, 199–208.

Franses, P.H. (1994) A multivariate approach to modeling univariate seasonal time series. *Journal of Econometrics 63*, 133–51.

Franses, P.H. (1996a) Multi-step forecast error variances for periodically integrated time series. *Journal of Forecasting* 15, 83–95.

Franses, P.H. (1996b) *Periodicity and Stochastic Trends in Economic Time Series*, Oxford University Press.

Franses, P.H. & R. Paap (2004) *Periodic Time Series Models*, Oxford University Press.

Franses, P.H. & R. Paap (2005) Forecasting with periodic autoregressive time-series models. In M.P. Clements & D.F. Hendry (eds.), *A Companion to Economic Forecasting*, pp. 432–452. Wiley-Blackwell.

Ghysels, E. (1988) A Study toward a dynamic theory of seasonality for economic time series. *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 83, 168–172.

Ghysels, E. & D.R. Osborn (2001) *The Econometric Analysis of Seasonal Time Series*, Cambridge University Press.

Gladyshev, E.G. (1961) On periodically correlated random sequences. *Soviet Mathematics* 2, 385–388.

Jones, R.H. & W.M. Brelsford (1967) Time series with periodic structure. *Biometrika* 54, 403–408.

Hurd, H.L. & A. Miamee (2007) *Periodically Correlated Random Sequences: Spectral Theory and Practice*, Wiley-Blackwell.

Karanasos, M., A.G. Paraskevopoulos, F. Menla Ali, M. Karoglou, & M. Yfanti (2014) Modeling returns and volatilities during financial crises: a time varying coefficient approach. *Journal of Empirical Finance*, forthcoming.

Lund, R. & I.V. Basawa (2000) Recursive prediction and likelihood evaluation for periodic ARMA models. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 21, 75–93.

Lund, R., Q. Shao, & I. Basawa (2006) Parsimonious periodic time series modeling. *Australian & New Zealand Journal of Statistics* 48, 33–47.

Miron, J.A. (1986) Seasonal fluctuations and the life cycle-permanent income model of consumption. *Journal of Political Economy* 94, 1258–1279.

Osborn, D.R. (1988) Seasonality and habit persistence in a life cycle model of consumption. *Journal of Applied Econometrics* 3, 255–266.

Osborn, D.R. (1990) A survey of seasonality in UK macroeconomic variables. *International Journal of Forecasting* 6, 327–336.

Osborn, D.R. (1991) The implications of periodically varying coefficients for seasonal time-series processes. *Journal of Econometrics* 48, 373–384.

Pagano, M. (1978) On periodic and multiple autoregressions. *The Annals of Statistics* 6, 1310–1317.

Paraskevopoulos, A.G. (2012) The Infinite Gauss-Jordan elimination on row-finite $\omega \times \omega$ matrices. arXiv: 1201.2950.

Paraskevopoulos, A.G., M. Karanasos, & S. Dafnos (2013) A unified theory for time varying models: foundations with applications in the presence of breaks and heteroskedasticity (and some results on companion and Hessenberg matrices). *Unpublished Paper*.

Parzen, E. & M. Pagano (1979) An approach to modeling seasonally stationary time series. *Journal of Econometrics* 9, 137–153.

Shao, Q. (2008) Robust estimation for periodic autoregressive time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 29, 251–263.

Taylor, A.M.R. (2002) Regression-based unit root tests with recursive mean adjustment for seasonal and nonseasonal time series. *Journal of Business & Economic Statistics* 20, 269–281.

Tesfaye, Y.G., P.L. Anderson, & M.M. Meerschaert (2011) Asymptotic results for Fourier-PARMA time series. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 32, 157-174.

Tiao, G.C. & M.R. Grupe (1980) Hidden periodic autoregressive-moving average models in time series data. *Biometrika* 67, 365–373.

Tiao, G.C. & I. Guttman (1980) Forecasting contemporal aggregates of multiple time series. *Journal of Econometrics* 12, 219–230.

Troutman, B.M. (1979) Some results in periodic autoregression. *Biometrika* 66, 219-228.

Ula, T.A. (1993) Forecasting of multivariate periodic autoregressive moving-average processes. *Journal of Time Series Analysis* 14, 645–657.

Vecchia, A.V. (1985) Periodic autoregressive-moving average (PARMA) modeling with applications to water resources. *Journal of the American Water Resources Association* 21, 721-730.

Vecchia, A.V. & R. Ballerini (1991) Testing for periodic autocorrelations in seasonal time series data. *Biometrika* 78, 53–63.

A APPENDIX

Proof. (Theorem 1; for the PARMA$(p,q;l)$ model in eq. (11)). We will denote the $nl \times (p+nl)$ top submatrix of $\mathbf{\Phi}$ (associated with eq. (11)) by $\mathbf{A}_{t,\tau_n}$(recall that $\tau_n = t - nl$)

$$\mathbf{A}_{t,\tau_n} =$$

$$\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1) & \cdots & \phi_1(\tau_n+1) & -1 & & & & & \\
 & \phi_p(\tau_n+2) & \cdots & \phi_2(\tau_n+2) & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & \\
 & & \cdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\
 & & & & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\
 & & & & & \ddots & \cdots & \ddots & \ddots & \\
 & & & & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 \\
 & & & & & & & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & -1
\end{pmatrix}.$$

In view of eq. (11) we define the forcing term $r_t = \phi_0(t) + u_t$ (recall that $u_t = \varepsilon_t + \sum_{j=1}^{q} \theta_j(t) B^j \varepsilon_t$) along with the $nl \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{r}_{t,\tau_n} = (r_{\tau_n+1}, r_{\tau_n+2}, ..., r_t)'$. Eq. (11) can be written as

$$y_t - \sum_{m=1}^{p} \phi_m(t) y_{t-m} = r_t.$$

The solution $(p+nl) \times 1$ vector $\mathbf{y}_{t,\tau_n} = (y_{\tau_n-p+1}, y_{\tau_n-p+2}, ..., y_{\tau_n}, y_{\tau_n+1,1}, ..., y_{\tau_n+nl,nl})'$ of the overdetermined system

$$\mathbf{A}_{t,\tau_n} \cdot \mathbf{y}_{t,\tau_n} = \mathbf{r}_{t,\tau_n} \tag{A.1}$$

contains the p free constants followed by the first $nl = t - \tau_n$ solutions of eq. (11). Let us call $\mathbf{e}_k = (0,0,...,0,1)'$ the kth unit vector of the canonical basis of $\mathbb{R}^k$. We introduce the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}$ consisting

of the core solution matrix $\mathbf{C}_{t,nl}$ (see eq. (5)) augmented by the column vector $-\mathbf{e}_{nl}$:

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n} = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & & & & & & & & \\ \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & -1 & & & & & & & \\ \phi_2(\tau_n+3) & \phi_1(\tau_n+3) & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+2) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Evidently $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}$ is a $nl \times nl$ nonsingular submatrix of $\mathbf{A}_{t,\tau_n}$. The matrix $\mathbf{A}_{t,\tau_n}$ is partitioned into two submatrices:

- The matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}$ and the
- $nl \times p$ matrix

$$\mathbf{P}_{t,\tau_n} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1) & \cdots & \phi_1(\tau_n+1) \\ 0 & \phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \cdots & \phi_2(\tau_n+2) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_3(\tau_n+3) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_p(\tau_n+p) \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots\vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Therefore the system (A.1) can be equivalently expressed as

$$(\mathbf{P}_{t,\tau_n} | \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}) \cdot \mathbf{y}_{t,\tau_n} = \mathbf{r}_{t,\tau_n}.$$

Block matrix multiplication entails that

$$(\mathbf{P}_{t,\tau_n}|\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n})\left(\begin{array}{c} y_{\tau_n-p+1} \\ y_{\tau_n-p+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tau_n} \\ \hline y_{\tau_n+1,1} \\ y_{\tau_n+2,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,nl} \end{array}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\tau_n+1} \\ r_{\tau_n+2} \\ \vdots \\ r_t \end{pmatrix} \Longleftrightarrow$$

$$\mathbf{P}_{t,\tau_n}\begin{pmatrix} y_{\tau_n-p+1} \\ y_{\tau_n-p+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tau_n} \end{pmatrix} + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} y_{\tau_n+1,1} \\ y_{\tau_n+2,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,nl} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} r_{\tau_n+1} \\ r_{\tau_n+2} \\ \vdots \\ r_t \end{pmatrix},$$

whence

$$\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} y_{\tau_n+1,1} \\ y_{\tau_n+2,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,nl} \end{pmatrix} = -\mathbf{P}_{t,\tau_n}\cdot\begin{pmatrix} y_{\tau_n-p+1} \\ y_{\tau_n-p+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tau_n} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} r_{\tau_n+1} \\ r_{\tau_n+2} \\ \vdots \\ r_t \end{pmatrix}. \tag{A.2}$$

Employing the notation

$$h_m = \sum_{j=m}^{p} \phi_{p-j+m}(\tau_n+m)y_{\tau_n-p+j},\ m = 1,2,\ldots,p,$$

the right hand side of (A.2) takes the form:

$$
-\begin{pmatrix}
\phi_p(\tau_n+1) & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1) & \cdots & \phi_1(\tau_n+1) \\
0 & \phi_p(\tau_n+2) & \cdots & \phi_2(\tau_n+2) \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_3(\tau_n+3) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots\!\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & \phi_p(\tau_n+p) \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots\!\vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \cdots & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{\tau_n-p+1} \\ y_{\tau_n-p+2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tau_n}
\end{pmatrix}
+
\begin{pmatrix}
r_{\tau_n+1} \\ r_{\tau_n+2} \\ \vdots \\ r_t
\end{pmatrix}
=
$$

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
-\sum_{j=1}^{p} \phi_{p-j+1}(\tau_n+1) y_{\tau_n-p+j} \\
-\sum_{j=2}^{p} \phi_{p-j+2}(\tau_n+2) y_{\tau_n-p+j} \\
\vdots \\
-\phi_p(\tau_n+p) y_{\tau_n} \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{pmatrix}
+
\begin{pmatrix}
r_{\tau_n+1} \\ r_{\tau_n+2} \\ \vdots \\ r_{\tau_n+p} \\ r_{\tau_n+p+1} \\ \vdots \\ r_t
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
r_{\tau_n+1}-h_1 \\ r_{\tau_n+2}-h_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_{\tau_n+p}-h_p \\ r_{\tau_n+p+1} \\ \vdots \\ r_t
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Thus (A.2) can be written as

$$
\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n} \cdot
\begin{pmatrix}
y_{\tau_n+1,1} \\ y_{\tau_n+2,2} \\ \vdots \\ y_{\tau_n+p,p} \\ y_{\tau_n+p+1,p+1} \\ \vdots \\ y_{t,nl}
\end{pmatrix}
=
\begin{pmatrix}
r_{\tau_n+1}-h_1 \\ r_{\tau_n+2}-h_2 \\ \vdots \\ r_{\tau_n+p}-h_p \\ r_{\tau_n+p+1} \\ \vdots \\ r_t
\end{pmatrix}.
\tag{A.3}
$$

As $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}$ is nonsingular the system (A.3) has a unique solution. By Cramer's rule the general solution $y^{gen}_{t,nl} = y_{t,nl}$ is the fraction of two determinants: The numerator is the determinant of the matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}$ whose last column is replaced by the right hand side column of (A.3), and the denominator is $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n})$.

Taking into account that $\det(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}_{t,\tau_n}) = (-1)^{nl}$ it follows that

$$y_{t,nl} = \frac{\begin{vmatrix} -1 & & & & & & & r_{\tau_n+1} - h_1 \\ \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & & & r_{\tau_n+2} - h_2 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \vdots \\ \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & r_{\tau_n+p} - h_p \\ \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & r_{\tau_n+p+1} \\ & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \vdots \\ & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & r_{t-1} \\ & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & r_t \end{vmatrix}}{(-1)^{nl}}.$$

As a column exchange between two consecutive columns of a determinant changes the sign of the determinant, we conclude that after $nl-1$ column exchanges the last column moves to the first, yielding

$$y_{t,nl} = (-1)^{nl-1} \frac{\begin{vmatrix} r_{\tau_n+1} - h_1 & -1 & & & & & & \\ r_{\tau_n+2} - h_2 & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ r_{\tau_n+p} - h_p & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ r_{\tau_n+p+1} & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ r_{t-1} & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 \\ r_t & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) \end{vmatrix}}{(-1)^{nl}},$$

thus

$$y_{t,nl} = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 - r_{\tau_n+1} & -1 & & & & & & & \\ h_2 - r_{\tau_n+2} & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & & \\ h_p - r_{\tau_n+p} & \phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ -r_{\tau_n+p+1} & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ -r_{t-1} & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \phi_{p-1}(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ -r_t & & & & \phi_p(t) & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & \end{vmatrix}.$$

Using the definition of the forcing term r_t we can write $y_{t,nl}$ as

$$y_{t,nl} = \begin{vmatrix} h_1 + \phi_0(\tau_n+1) + u_{\tau_n+1} & -1 & & & & & & \\ h_2 + \phi_0(\tau_n+2) + u_{\tau_n+2} & \phi_1(\tau_n+2) & \ddots & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ \phi_0(\tau_n+p+1) + u_{\tau_n+p+1} & \phi_p(\tau_n+p+1) & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ \phi_0(t-1) + u_{t-1} & & & \phi_p(t-1) & \cdots & \phi_1(t-1) & -1 & \\ \phi_0(t) + u_t & & & & \cdots & \phi_2(t) & \phi_1(t) & \end{vmatrix}.$$

In the above formula we expressed the general solution ($y^{gen}_{t,nl} = y_{t,nl}$) as a Hessenbergian. Next we will decompose it into two parts: the homogeneous and the particular solutions. Expanding the determinant along the first column we have:

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t,nl} &= \sum_{m=1}^{p} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m} + h_m)\xi_{t,nl-m} + \sum_{m=p+1}^{nl} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{p} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} + \sum_{m=1}^{p} h_m\xi_{t,nl-m} + \sum_{m=p+1}^{nl} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{nl} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} + \sum_{m=1}^{p} h_m\xi_{t,nl-m} \\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{nl} (\phi_0(\tau_n+m) + u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} + \sum_{m=1}^{p}\sum_{j=m}^{p} \phi_{p-j+m}(\tau_n+m) y_{\tau_n-p+j}\xi_{t,nl-m}. \end{aligned}$$

The first sum in $y_{t,nl}$, is the particular solution $y^{par}_{t,nl}$, and it can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{m=1}^{nl}(\phi_0(\tau_n+m)+u_{\tau_n+m})\xi_{t,nl-m} &= \sum_{m=1}^{nl}(\phi_0(t-nl+m)+u_{t-nl+m})\xi_{t,nl-m}\\ &= \sum_{m=1}^{nl}(\phi_0(t-(nl-m))+u_{t-(nl-m)})\xi_{t,nl-m}\\ &= \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1}\xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r)+\sum_{r=0}^{nl-1}\xi_{t,r}u_{t-r}.\end{aligned}$$

Next expand the second sum,

$$\sum_{m=1}^{p}\sum_{j=m}^{p}\phi_{p-j+m}(\tau_n+m)y_{\tau_n-p+j}\xi_{t,nl-m} =$$

$$\begin{aligned}&[\phi_1(\tau_n+1)y_{\tau_n}+\phi_2(\tau_n+1)y_{\tau_n-1}+...+\phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1)y_{\tau_n-p+2}+\phi_p(\tau_n+1)y_{\tau_n-p+1}]\xi_{t,nl-1}\\ &+[\phi_2(\tau_n+2)y_{\tau_n}+\phi_3(\tau_n+2)y_{\tau_n-1}+...+\phi_p(\tau_n+2)y_{\tau_n-p+2}]\xi_{t,nl-2}+...+\\ &[\phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p-1)y_{\tau_n}+\phi_p(\tau_n+p-1)y_{\tau_n-1}]\xi_{t,nl-p+1}+\phi_p(\tau_n+p)y_{\tau_n}\xi_{t,nl-p}.\end{aligned}$$

Factoring the above expansion relative to ys we get the final form of the homogeneous solution in terms of the initial conditions, $y^{\text{hom}}_{t,nl}$,

$$\begin{aligned}&\left[\phi_1(\tau_n+1)\xi_{t,nl-1}+\phi_2(\tau_n+2)\xi_{t,nl-2}+\ldots+\phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p-1)\xi_{t,nl-p+1}+\phi_p(\tau_n+p)\xi_{t,nl-p}\right]y_{\tau_n}+\\ &\left[\phi_2(\tau_n+1)\xi_{t,nl-1}+\phi_3(\tau_n+2)\xi_{t,nl-2}+...+\phi_p(\tau_n+p-1)\xi_{t,nl-p+1}\right]y_{\tau_n-1}+...+\\ &\left[\phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+1)\xi_{t,nl-1}+\phi_p(\tau_n+2)\xi_{t,nl-2}\right]y_{\tau_n-p+2}+\phi_p(\tau_n+1)\xi_{t,nl-1}y_{\tau_n-p+1} =\\ &\sum_{m=0}^{p-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-m}\phi_{m+i}(\tau_n+i)\xi_{t,nl-i}\right)y_{\tau_n-m}.\end{aligned}$$

Expanding the determinant of $\Phi_{t,nl}$, that is $\xi_{t,nl}$, along the first column we have

$$\xi_{t,nl}=\phi_1(\tau_n+1)\xi_{t,nl-1}+\phi_2(\tau_n+2)\xi_{t,nl-2}+\ldots+\phi_{p-1}(\tau_n+p-1)\xi_{t,nl-p+1}+\phi_p(\tau_n+p)\xi_{t,nl-p},$$

and thus we can also write

$$\sum_{m=0}^{p-1}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{p-m}\phi_{m+i}(\tau_n+i)\xi_{t,nl-i}\right)y_{\tau_n-m}=\xi_{t,nl}y_{t-nl}+\sum_{m=1}^{p-1}\sum_{i=1}^{p-m}\phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i)\xi_{t,nl-i}y_{t-nl-m}.$$

Accordingly the general solution is given by

$$\begin{aligned} y_{t,nl} &= \xi_{t,nl} y_{t-nl} + \sum_{m=1}^{p-1} \sum_{i=1}^{p-m} \phi_{m+i}(t-nl+i)\xi_{t,nl-i} y_{t-nl-m} + \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi_{t,r}\phi_0(t-r) + \sum_{r=0}^{nl-1} \xi_{t,r} u_{t-r} \\ &= y_{t,nl}^{\text{hom}} + y_{t,nl}^{par}. \end{aligned}$$

as required. ■

B APPENDIX

TIME INVARIANT VECTOR FORM

For the benefit of the reader this Section reviews some results on PARMA models. Recall that the autoregressive coefficients are periodically varying: $\phi_m(t) = \phi_m(\tau_n)$ where $\tau_n = t - nl$. Recall also that t_s denotes time at the sth season: $t_s = Tl + s$, $s = 1, \ldots, l$, which written as $t_s - s = Tl$ is equivalent to $t_s \equiv s \bmod l$. That is t_s and s are congruent modulo l (t_s and s have the same remainder when they are divided by l).[5] Thus, we can write $\phi_{m,s} \triangleq \phi_m(Tl + s)$ since $Tl + s \equiv s \bmod l$ (see eq. (1)). We can see one of the advantages of the elaborate notation that we employ in place of the single index t, namely it conveys the point that the data generating process of a time series variable depends on the season.

We assume without loss of generality that time t is at the lth season, that is $s = l$ (e.g., $t = t_l = (T+1)l$). Thus our $\mathbf{\Phi}_{\mathbf{t},l}$ matrix in eq. (9) will be denoted by $\mathbf{\Phi}(l)$ and becomes:

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(l) = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_{1,1} & -1 & & & & & & \\ \phi_{2,2} & \phi_{1,2} & \ddots & & & & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & & & & \\ \phi_{p,p} & \phi_{p-1,p} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & & \\ & \phi_{p,p+1} & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & & \\ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \\ & & & \phi_{p,l-1} & \phi_{p-1,l-1} & \cdots & \phi_{1,l-1} & -1 \\ & & & & \phi_{p,l} & \cdots & \phi_{2,l} & \phi_{1,l} \end{pmatrix}$$

[5] The congruence class of s modulo l is given by

$$[s]_l = \{t_s \in \mathbb{Z} : t_s - s = Tl, \text{ for some } T \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and $[t_s]_l = [s]_l \iff t_s \equiv s \bmod l$. For example, if $l = 4$, then there are four congruent classes which partition the set $\mathbb{Z}$ into four disjoint sets:

$$\begin{aligned} [1]_4 &= \{\pm 1, \pm 5, \pm 9, ...\};\ [2]_4 = \{\pm 2, \pm 6, \pm 10, ...\}, \\ [3]_4 &= \{\pm 3, \pm 7, \pm 11, ...\};\ [4]_4 = \{0, \pm 4, \pm 18, \pm 12, ...\}. \end{aligned}$$

since for $m = 1, \ldots, \min(p, l)$, $r = 0, \ldots, l-m$, $\phi_m(t-r) = \phi_{m,l-r}$ in eq. (9). A convenient representation of the PAR model in eq. (2) is the VAR representation- hereafter we will refer to it as the vector of seasons (VS) representation (see, for example, Tiao and Guttman, 1980; Vecchia, 1985; Osborn, 1991; Franses, 1994, 1996a,b; Lund and Basawa, 2000; del Barrio Castro and Osborn, 2008).

The corresponding VS representation of the PAR($p; l$) model (ignoring the drifts) is given by

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_0 \mathbf{y}_T = \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{y}_{T-1} + \cdots + \mathbf{\Phi}_P \mathbf{y}_{T-P} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T, \tag{B.1}$$

with $\mathbf{y}_T = (y_{1T}, \ldots, y_{lT})'$, $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T = (\varepsilon_{1T}, \ldots, \varepsilon_{lT})'$, where the first subscript refers to the season (s) and the second one to the period (T). Moreover, $\mathbf{\Phi}_0 = [\phi_{ij}^{(0)}]_{i,j=1,\ldots,l}$ is an $l \times l$ parameter matrix whose (i, j) entry is:

$$\begin{cases} 1 & \text{if} \quad i = j, \\ 0 & \text{if} \quad j > i, \\ -\phi_{i-j,i} & if \quad j < i, \end{cases} \tag{B.2}$$

and $\mathbf{\Phi}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{\Phi}_P$ are $l \times l$ parameter matrices with (i, j) elements $\phi_{ij}^{(M)} = \phi_{i+lM-j,i}$, for $M = 1, \ldots, P$ (see for example Vecchia, 1985, Lund and Basawa, 2000, Franses and Paap, 2005). The l-variate AR order P is $P = [p/l]$, where $[x]$ denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x.

As pointed out by Franses (1994), the idea of stacking has been introduced by Gladyshev (1961) and is also considered in e.g., Pagano (1978), Tiao and Guttman (1980), Vecchia (1985), Osborn (1991), Franses (1994) and Lund and Basawa (2000), who used it in the AR setting. The dynamic system in eq. (B.1) can be written in a compact form

$$\mathbf{\Phi}(B)\mathbf{y}_T = \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T \text{ or } |\mathbf{\Phi}(B)|\, \mathbf{y}_T = adj[\mathbf{\Phi}(B)]\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T$$

($adj(\mathbf{X})$ stands for the adjoint of matrix $\mathbf{X}$), where $\mathbf{\Phi}(B) = \mathbf{\Phi}_0 - \Sigma_{M=1}^{P} \mathbf{\Phi}_M B^M$. Stationarity of $\mathbf{y}_T$ requires the roots of $\left|\mathbf{\Phi}(z^{-1})\right| = 0$ to lie strictly inside the unit circle (see, among others, Tiao and Guttman, 1980; Osborn, 1991; Franses, 1994, 1996a; Franses and Paap, 2005; del Barrio Castro and Osborn, 2008). For the ARMA($p, q; l$) model we replace $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T$ with $\mathbf{u}_T = \mathbf{\Theta}(B)\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T$, where $\mathbf{\Theta}(B) = \mathbf{\Theta}_0 - \Sigma_{N=1}^{Q} \mathbf{\Theta}_N B^N$ (see Lund and Basawa, 2000). The l-variate MA order Q is $Q = [q/l]$. The moving average $l \times l$ parameter matrices $\{\mathbf{\Theta}_N = [\theta_{ij}^{(N)}],\ 0 \leq N \leq Q\}$ are obtained in a similar manner to the AR matrices $\mathbf{\Phi}_M$ with $\theta_{ij}^{(N)}$ replacing each occurrence of $\phi_{ij}^{(M)}$ (see Lund and Basawa, 2000).

As an example, consider the PAR(2; 4) model

$$y_{t_s} = \phi_{1,s} y_{t_s-1} + \phi_{2,s} y_{t_s-2} + \varepsilon_{t_s},$$

which can be written as

$$\mathbf{\Phi}_0 \mathbf{y}_T = \mathbf{\Phi}_1 \mathbf{y}_{T-1} + \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}_T,$$

for which the characteristic equation is

$$|\mathbf{\Phi}_0 - \mathbf{\Phi}_1 z| = \begin{vmatrix} 1 & 0 & -\phi_{2,1} z & -\phi_{1,1} z \\ -\phi_{1,2} & 1 & 0 & -\phi_{2,2} z \\ -\phi_{2,3} & -\phi_{1,3} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -\phi_{2,4} & -\phi_{1,4} & 1 \end{vmatrix} = 0.$$

Hence, when the nonlinear parameter restriction

$$\begin{aligned} &\big|\phi_{2,2}\phi_{1,3}\phi_{1,4} + \phi_{2,2}\phi_{2,4} + \phi_{2,1}\phi_{1,2}\phi_{1,3} + \phi_{2,1}\phi_{2,3} + \phi_{1,1}\phi_{1,2}\phi_{1,3}\phi_{1,4} \\ &+\phi_{1,1}\phi_{1,2}\phi_{2,4} + \phi_{1,1}\phi_{1,4}\phi_{2,3} - \phi_{2,1}\phi_{2,2}\phi_{2,3}\phi_{2,4}\big| < 1, \end{aligned}$$

is imposed on the parameters, the VS representation of the PAR(2; 4) model is stationary (see Franses and Paap, 2005). When $\phi_{2,s} = 0$ for all s, that is we have the PAR(1; 4) model, then the stationarity condition reduces to: $\left|\phi_{1,1}\phi_{1,2}\phi_{1,3}\phi_{1,4}\right| < 1$ which is equivalent to our condition $\left|\xi_{t,l}\right| < 1$ or, to put it in another way, the absolute value of $|\mathbf{\Phi}(l)|$ is less than one.